

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 ANTHONY CHAPPA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 SHASTA COUNTY SHERIFF, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

No. 2:20-cv-0379 AC P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

17 On June 11, 2021, the undersigned screened the complaint and determined that it failed to  
18 state cognizable claims against the Shasta County Sheriff or “jail warden” Tom Bonseko. See  
19 ECF No. 6 at 4-5. Accordingly, plaintiff was given the option of either amending the complaint  
20 or proceeding on its cognizable claims. See id. at 5-8. At that time, plaintiff was ordered to  
21 notify the court within fourteen days as to how he wished to proceed. See id. at 7.

22 More than fourteen days have passed, and plaintiff has not informed the court that he  
23 would like to amend the complaint. Therefore, pursuant to the court’s screening order, the  
24 undersigned will recommend that defendants Shasta County Sheriff and Tom Bonseko be  
25 dismissed with prejudice from this action and that the case proceed on plaintiff’s excessive force  
26 claim against Deputies Vangerwin and McQuillan only.


27 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall randomly assign a  
28 District Court Judge to this action.

1 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED, for the reasons set forth in the screening order (ECF  
2 No. 6), that:

- 3 1. Defendants Shasta County Sheriff and Tom Bonseko, and related claims against  
4 them, be DISMISSED with prejudice from this action; and
- 5 2. The case proceed on plaintiff's claim of excessive force against defendants Vangerwin  
6 and McQuillan.

7 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge  
8 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-one days  
9 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections  
10 with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings  
11 and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified  
12 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153  
13 (9th Cir. 1991).

14 DATED: July 7, 2021

15   
16 ALLISON CLAIRE  
17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28